

PRESENTER:

DEGREE PROGRAM:

FACULTY ADVISER:

3MT TITLE:

CATEGORY 1: COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT

Strong	5	4	3	2	1	Weak
--------	---	---	---	---	---	------

Provided an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
Clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?
Follow a clear and logical sequence?
Thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
Spent adequate time on each element of their presentation - or rushed or too much time?
TOTAL

CATEGORY 2: ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

Strong 5 4 3 2 1 Weak

Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
Was the presenter careful not to trivialise or generalise their research?
Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention; have sufficient stage presence, eye
contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?
TOTAL

TOTAL SCORE

Category 1 TOTAL + Category 2 TOTAL= ____/ 50

ADDITIONAL NOTES: