"Responsible Conduct of Research Training: Federal Regulations and the UT Response"

James P. Trempe, Ph.D.

Interim Senior Director for Research Administration





Background

Increasing pressures for obtaining extramural research funds increases the potential for research misconduct behavior.

NSF observed a doubling of allegations of research misconduct between 1998-2005 and an increasing number of serious cases.¹

In a recent survey of 2,500 scientists by the Pew Research Center, 11% of those polled indicated that the possibility of making a lot of money leads many in their specialty to violate ethical principles, while 26% reported that it leads their colleagues to cut corners on quality.²

In a survey of over 1700 scientists more than one third admitted to questionable research practices.³

³ Martinson et al *Nature* 435, 737-738 (9 June 2005)





¹Fischer.PL, OIG, NSF; SRA International Webinar November 19 2009

² "Public Praises Science; Scientists Fault Public, Media", Pew Research Center for the People and the Press, July 2009

New National Science Foundation Requirement

"Effective January 4, 2010, NSF will require that, at the time of proposal submission to NSF, a proposing institution's Authorized Organizational Representative certify that the institution has a plan to provide appropriate training and oversight in the responsible and ethical conduct of research to undergraduates, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers who will be supported by NSF to conduct research."

Section 7009 of the America Creating Opportunities to Meaningfully Promote Excellence in

Technology, Education, and Science (COMPETES) Act (42 U.S.C.1862o-1)





New National Science Foundation Requirement (cont.)

- While training plans are not required to be included in proposals submitted to NSF, institutions are advised that they are subject to review upon request.
- An institution must designate one or more persons to oversee compliance with the RCR training requirement.
- Institutions are responsible for verifying that undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers supported by NSF to conduct research have received training in the responsible and ethical conduct of research.





NSF and NIH Core Focus Areas

- 1. Introduction to RCR
- 2. Authorship and Publication
- 3. Collaborative Research
- 4. Data Acquisition and Management
- 5. Conflict of Interest
- 6. Mentoring
- 7. Research Misconduct
- 8. Peer Review
- 9. Research Involving Human Subjects
- 10. Research Involving Animal Subjects





- Karen Bjorkman, Arts and Sciences
- Samir Hefzy, Engineering
- Walt Edinger, Medicine
- Patsy Komuniecki, Graduate Studies
- •Elsa Nadler, Off. Res. and Dev.
- Jeff Busch, Res. Spon. Prog.
- Jim Trempe, Res. Spon. Prog.





Discussions:

- 1. Develop our own training curriculum on RCR
- Online training via Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI)
 - Univ. of Miami, federally funded
 - RCR, IRB, IACUC, HIPAA, etc.





Develop our own training curriculum on RCR

Advantages:

Control over curriculum

-Foundation lectures

-Case studies

-Student projects

-Small group discussions

-Etc.

Fully engage students

Disadvantages:

- Requires long-term planning
- Resources: faculty and administrative commitments,





Online training via Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative (CITI)

Advantages:

- Rapid response ready to go
- •Widely used, >800 institutions
- Favorable comments from prior users
- •Low cost \$1750/yr.

<u>Disadvantages:</u>

- Little control over curriculum
- Students are not fully engaged





Recommendations:

Long-term

- –UT should establish its own RCR curriculum comprised of lecture, small group discussion, case studies and video vignettes.
- –All graduate students, postdoctoral fellows and graduate faculty should take the training.

Short-term

Use the online CITI programs for RCR training.



CITT Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative

Human Subjects Research

Become a Member

HIPS Course

RCR Course

International Course Site

Lab Animal Course



Welcome

CITI Login and Registration Page

👔 The CITI Program is a subscription service providing research ethics education to all members of the research community. To participate fully, learners must be affiliated with a CITI participating organization.

The CITI course is a protected site. If you are a new learner at a participating organization you must register to create your own username and password and gain access to the site.

- New Users Register Here
- Already Registered? Login Below

Username

Password

Submit

Forgot login information

To ensure that all users enjoy rapid response times, access is temporarily limited to 2500 concurrent users. As





James Trempe (Member ID: 1426552)

CITI Collaborative Institutional Training Initiative

Resources | Main Menu | Select Language | Logoff

CR# 4150627: Biomedical Responsible Conduct of Research Gradebook

To pass this course you must complete all the elective modules with a combined score of 80. Your current score is 97.

lective Modules Required: 12 Completed: 8	Date Complete	Score
Complete The Integrity Assurance Statement before beginning the course.		
Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research	Completed 10/07/09	no quiz
Research Misconduct 1-1215	Completed 10/08/09	6/6 (100%)
Data Acquisition, Management, Sharing and Ownership 1-1308	Completed 12/01/09	5/5 (100%)
Publication Practices and Responsible Authorship 1-1380	Completed 02/01/10	5/5 (100%)
Peer Review 1-1388	Completed 12/03/09	8/8 (100%)
Mentor and Trainee Responsibilities 01234 1250	Completed 02/02/10	6/6 (100%)
Animal Welfare 13301	Incomplete	
Conflicts of Interest and Commitment 1-1622	Incomplete	
Collaborative Research 1-1450	Completed 02/07/10	5/6 (83%)
Human Subjects 13566	Incomplete	
The CITI RCR Course Completion Page.	Completed 02/05/10	no quiz
University of Toledo	Incomplete	

Research Misconduct

- "The public will support science only if it can trust the scientists and institutions that conduct research."
- Integrity in Scientific Research: Creating an Environment That Promotes Responsible Conduct, Institute of Medicine 2002.

Introductory Video Case

Please review this 3 minute video case study before you begin reading the foundation text. The video may permit you to identify with the issues and provide some personal relevance to the topic.

The Case

An investigator at **Big Time University** is jammed up against a submission deadline and needs conclusive data for a grant application in a highly competitive field. Unfortunately, the data are filled with inconsistencies and do not seem to support his working hypothesis. Frustrated, the investigator aggressively pushes his non-U.S. graduate student and third-year post-doc to find the problem, get it fixed and get him the data in time to meet the submission deadline. **How should they go forward?**

Watch the video to see how the student and post-doc resolve the issues. **What would YOU do?**

This video was funded in part by a grant to the University of Nebraska at Lincoln from The Council of Graduate Schools and the National Science Foundation. Used with permission.- 10-2007.



This streaming video is best viewed with MS Media Player. It is also available in the QuickTime format. Download QuickTime Video Player or Real Player for this video.

Introduction

For the vast majority of those people engaged in the research enterprise, doing the right thing is the operative principle. Scientists want to conduct carefully designed experiments, take into consideration the ethics of human and animal subject protection, record data properly, and report results in the literature and at conferences as accurately as possible in order to advance knowledge.

Someone who would knowingly lie about research data or steal someone else's ideas, according to the bioethicist Arthur Caplan, suffers from lapsed morals. All the information in the world about research misconduct and the responsible conduct of research probably wouldn't change his or her behavior, Caplan says. In fact, a scoundrel taking part in training programs dedicated to these issues might actually get better ideas about performing misdeeds.

The goal of this educational module is to provide information to researchers about what constitutes misconduct, how to report it, how institutions can deal with it, and identify some practices that might reduce the risk of both unintentional and deliberate research misconduct.

What is Research Misconduct?

Office of Science and Technology Policy Definition

"Advances in science, engineering and all fields of research depend on the reliability of the research record, as do benefits associated with them in areas such as health and national security," begins the December 6, 2000, Notice of Final Policy in the Federal Record from the Office of Science and Technology Policy (OSTP). It continues, "Sustained public trust in the research enterprise requires confidence in the research record and in the processes involved in its ongoing development."

Listen to Dr. Dana Fisher discuss "Upholding Standards".



Resources | Main Menu | Select Language | Logoff

CR# 4150627: Biomedical Responsible Conduct of Research Gradebook

To pass this course you must complete all the elective modules with a combined score of 80. Your current score is 97.

Elective Modules Required: 12 Completed: 8	Date Complete	Score
Complete The Integrity Assurance Statement before beginning the course.		
Introduction to the Responsible Conduct of Research	Completed 10/07/09	no quiz
Research Misconduct 1-1215	Completed 10/08/09	6/6 (100%)
Data Acquisition, Management, Sharing and Ownership 1-1308	Completed 12/01/09	5/5 (100%)
Publication Practices and Responsible Authorship 1-1380	Completed 02/01/10	5/5 (100%)
Peer Review 1-1388	Completed 12/03/09	8/8 (100%)
Mentor and Trainee Responsibilities 01234 1250	Completed 02/02/10	6/6 (100%)
Animal Welfare 13301	Incomplete	
Conflicts of Interest and Commitment 1-1622	Incomplete	
Collaborative Research 1-1450	Completed 02/07/10	5/6 (83%)
Human Subjects 13566	Incomplete	
The CITI RCR Course Completion Page.	Completed 02/05/10	no quiz
University of Toledo	Incomplete	

Time commitment: ~10-12 hrs

New NIH Requirements

- NIH requires that all trainees, fellows, participants, and scholars receiving support through any NIH training, career development award (individual or institutional), research education grant, and dissertation research grant must receive instruction in responsible conduct of research.
- •January 24, 2010
- •UT currently has three such awards
 −(F31, K99/R00).



New NIH Requirements

NIH also dictates format:

"While on-line courses can be a valuable supplement to instruction in responsible conduct of research, online instruction is not considered adequate as the sole means of instruction. A plan that employs only online coursework for instruction in responsible conduct of research will not be considered acceptable, except in special instances of short-term training programs, or unusual and well-justified circumstances."





On Being a Scientist (602/802)

Offered Fall and Spring semesters

Randy Ruch, Course Director

<u>Week</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Instructor</u>
1	Intro. to RCR: History of Science and Scientific Ethics	Edinger
2	Research Misconduct	Edinger
3	Research Ethics	Nadler
4	Data Acquisition & Management; Authorship & Publication	n Edinger
5	Conflict of Interest	DeVries
6	Collaborative Res. Commercialization, Intellectual Prop.	Fox
7	Use of Human Subjects in Research	Pinkston/Wisniewski
8	Use of Animals in Research – IACUC	Chiaia
9	Research Funding: NIH, NSF and more	Ratnam
10	Biohazard and Biosafety Policies	Valigosky
11	Preparation of a Professional CV	Tietz
12	Mentoring/Trainee Relationship & Case Studies	Ruch





Issues in Research and Scholarship (ARS2980)

Summer Seminar Series

Tom Kvale, Course Director

<u>Week</u>	<u>Topic</u>	
1	Welcome Reception	Provost
2	Laboratory Safety	Safety & Health
3	Research Ethics and Compliance	RSP
4	Plagiarism and Compliance	Acad.Writ.Ctr.
5	Ethics and Commitment in Research	Honors Prog.
6	Doing Advance Research in the Library	Library Admin.
7	Business Prospects and Patents	Off. Res.&Dev.
8	Diversity Issues in Research	Inst. Diversity
9	Summer Recap & Miscellaneous	Off. Undergrad. Res.
10	Research Presentation	Student Researchers





Prospective Students | Admission | Academics | Campus Life | Current Students | Faculty & Staff | Research | Athletics | Alumni & Community

Tuesday, February 23, 2010

Advanced Search | A to Z List | Feedback

Welcome to The University of Toledo Research Enterprise



Research at UT

Research News and Reports

Forms

Staff Contacts

Collaborative Research and

<u>Grants</u>

Jacobson Center for Clinical & Translational Research

UT Research Policies RSP Calendar

Economic Development

NSF PFI Workshop Outstanding Faculty Researcher Award

FAQ

Funding . . . with NEW Stimulus Info Page!

Sponsors and Programs Undergraduate Research Internal UT Funding

Institutional Data Limited Submissions

Stimulus Funding (ARRA) Information

Cost-Share Request Form Proposal Preparation Useful Links

Technology Transfer

<u>Opportunities</u>

Technology Licensing Available Technologies Template Agreements

New Ventures News Contact

Inventor Resources Industry Resources Material Transfer

Business Incubation

Business Incubation Services Clean and Alternative Energy Incubator IT Incubator

Minority Business Incubator Community Business Resources

Press Apply: Contact

Responsible Conduct of Research

IRB - Institutional Review **Boards**

Animal Care and Use in Research

Radiation Safety

Responsible Conduct of Research Training

Financial Conflict of Interest More...

Limited Submissions: Campus Deadline: next 90 days

- Robert Noyce Teacher Scholarship Program February 24, 2010
- NSF MRI Campus Deadline March 01, 2010
- Presidential Awards for Excellence in Sci, Math, Engin mento

March 02, 2010

- Robert Noyce Teacher Scholarship Program March 10, 2010
- Integrative Grad, Ed and Research Trainee Prog. March 12, 2010
- Opportunities for Enhancing Diversity in the Geosciences March 15, 2010
- NSF Graduate STEM Fellows in K-12 Education April 20, 2010
- Opportunities for Enhancing Diversity in the Geosciences May 01, 2010
- Research Coordination Networks in Biological Sciences May 24, 2010
- Improvements to Biological Research Collections May 24, 2010

Complete RSP Calendar

Sponsor Deadline: next 90 days

ADVANCE: Increasing Participation, Advancement of <u>Women</u>

February 24, 2010

- Ethics Education in Science and Engineering (EESE) March 01, 2010
- Industry/University Cooperative Research Centers <u>Program</u>

March 06, 2010

NSF 05-550, FSML: Improvements in Facilities, Communications

March 10, 2010

- Centers of Biomedical Research Excellence ... P20 March 28, 2010
- Emerging Frontiers in Research and Innovation 2010, 09-606

March 31, 2010

Page top 4

Research at The University of Toledo

Responsible Conduct of Research

- ▶ Human Subjects in Research
- Animal Care and Use in Research
- ▶ Biosafety
- ▶ Radiation Safety

Quick Links

- Home
- ▶ Research at UT
- ▶ <u>Technology Transfer</u>
- ▶ Business Incubation Services
- ▶ Research Funding

Training for Responsible Conduct of Research

Responsible Conduct of Research (RCR)

The University of Toledo is committed to fostering an environment of responsible conduct of research. Integrity in research and scholarship is a fundamental value upon which the University is founded. Without integrity, we could not justify the privilege of the academic freedom that is intrinsic to research, other forms of scholarship and education nor could we provide to society the advancements of knowledge that derive from free and open inquiry.

New National Science Foundation Requirements

In 2009 the National Science Foundation (NSF) announced its implementation of Section 7009 of the America Creating Opportunities to Meaningfully Promote Excellence in Technology, Education, and Science (COMPETES) Act (42 U.S.C. 1862o-1) (http://edocket.access.gpo.gov/2009/E9-19930.htm). This section of the Act requires that:

"Effective January 4, 2010, NSF will require that, at the time of proposal submission to NSF, a proposing institution's Authorized Organizational Representative certify that the institution has a plan to provide appropriate training and oversight in the responsible and ethical conduct of research to undergraduates, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers who will be supported by NSF to conduct research."

The language below further describes the Institutional Responsibilities:

- a. While training plans are not required to be included in proposals submitted to NSF, institutions are advised that they are subject to review upon request.
- b. An institution must designate one or more persons to oversee compliance with the RCR training requirement.
- c. Institutions are responsible for verifying that undergraduate students, graduate students, and postdoctoral researchers supported by NSF to conduct research have received training in the responsible and ethical conduct of research.